Next 1 Page(s) In Document Exempt

Training in the Agency is reaching out to anticipate developments of the 70s. During this past year the goals in OTR and the instructional programs to attain these goals have been overhauled in a determined effort to insure that Agency employees are trained to fit the needs linked to these developments. No course is the same as it was a year ago and there are new courses like the Advanced Intelligence Seminar and the Advanced Operations Course added particularly for the officer at mid-career. Training given to new professionals is heavily flavored with discussion on domestic and foreign problems—some of this presented by outstanding authorities from universities, from the executive and legislative branches of the federal government, and by other public figures. A recent and major reorganizational change in OTR was the merger of two of its schools to form the School of Intelligence and World Affairs—a consolidation to emphasize the new look to the next ten years.

A major concern and one to which I addressed myself in February of 1966--at the time I approved the findings of an ad hoc committee--is the Agency's lack of language capabilities. I set 1 January 1971 as the start of the Language Development Program which would manage individuals with positions designated as "language-essential." I am told the Program will be in operation by that date but that it will be on a very modest basis. To date, for example, 29%

SECRET

of the positions in the Clandestine Service have been identified as requiring a language. As a foreign affairs-oriented Agency, we have to do better than this.

Perhaps the most significant finding surfaced through the workings of the new Program is that the wealth of usable language competence assumed throughout the years does not, in fact, exist. The Agency has no excess of language proficiency in any foreign language and is actually short of skills in some. In the Clandestine Service an incentive program has been introduced, with the aim of encouraging the study of "hard" languages and already a number of "Language Step Increases" (20) have been granted for the study of Vietnamese.

Management in this Agency will always be a prime concern of everyone—where the managers will come from and how they will be equipped to assume their responsibilities. The Office of Training is closely identified with the education of present and future managers and within the concept of a meaningful job for every employee. It has been trying to debunk the myth that only middle and senior-level officers manage people and things—or make decisions. The techniques of solving problems faced in day-to-day experiences are the core of the management and supervision courses given for our personnel. (I wish to take note at this time of work done through the Support Directorate Problem

Solving Seminar and the Management Advisory Group typifying management training in action.) Through the Training Selection Board the Agency has been able to increase its attendance at leading university centers of management training. Next year, for example, in addition to sending representatives to Harvard, we will have our representatives at MIT, Stanford, Columbia, Pennsylvania State, Pittsburgh, and Cornell. In another year we expect there will be others.

Many in the audience are well acquainted with the Agency's Career
Training Program. The Program is changing. Fewer CTs are being recruited.
The next two years, for example, will see a total of 100 join the Program.
Emphasis in selection is on the generalist and the input may be as high as a
one-for-one ratio of Internals and Externals. Training will be a mix of formal
courses and on-the-job experience before the young officer leaves the Program
for assignment in a Directorate. (I am pleased to see that "on-the-job training"
or interim assignments are being reinstituted into the CT training cycle.)

I am often reminded that OTR does not do all of the training in the Agency. Many Offices have their own highly specialized—and equally successful programs. I view this as a necessary adjunct to the work of OTR and little change in current programs is expected. There is one

SERVIT

-4-

arm of training that cannot be ignored and that is the Agency sponsorship of employees for training at institutions or facilities outside CIA. As the computer world and scientific intelligence accelerate, it is expected that we will continue to use outside sources to keep our employees professionally current.

When we consider that about 5,000 employees get some formal training of varying lengths over a 12-month period I feel assured that we are not neglecting our professional growth and I am confident that our training will continue to be progressive—to keep pace with the Agency's developing role.

SEGRET

Approved For Release 2003/05/27 Ala-RDP84-00780R003400060054-2

Training in the Agency is reaching out to anticipate developments of the 70s. During this past year the goals in OTR and the instructional programs to attain these goals have been overhauled in a determined effort to insure that Agency employees are trained to fit the needs linked to these developments. No course is the same as it was a year ago and there are new courses like the Advanced Intelligence Seminar and the Advanced Operations Course added particularly for the officer at midcareer. Training given to new professionals is heavily flavored with discussion on domestic and foreign problems—some of this presented by outstanding authorities from universities, from the executive and legislative branches of the federal government, and by other public figures. A recent and major reorganizational change in OTR was the merger of two of its schools to form the School of Intelligence and World Affairs—a consolidation to emphasize the new look to the next ten years.

A major concern and one to which I addressed myself in February of 1966--at the time I approved the findings of an ad hoc committee-is the Agency's lack of language capabilities. I set 1 January 1971 as
the start of the Language Development Program which would manage
individuals with positions designated as "language-essential." I am
told the Program will be in operation by that date but that it will be on a
very modest basis. To date, for example, 29% of the positions in the

Clandestine Service have been identified as requiring a language. As a foreign affairs-oriented Agency, we have to do better than this.

Perhaps the most significant finding surfaced through the workings of the new Program is that the wealth of usable language competence assumed throughout the years does not, in fact, exist. The Agency has no excess of language proficiency in any foreign language and is actually short of skills in some. In the Clandestine Service an incentive program has been introduced, with the aim of encouraging the study of "hard" languages and already a number of "Language Step Increases" (20) have been granted for the study of Vietnamese.

Management in this Agency will always be a prime concern of everyone--where the managers will come from and how they will be equipped
to assume their responsibilities. The Office of Training is closely
identified with the education of present and future managers and within
the concept of a meaningful job for every employee. It has been trying
to debunk the myth that only middle and senior-level officers manage
people and things--or make decisions. The techniques of solving problems faced in day-to-day experiences are the core of the management
and supervision courses given for our personnel. (I wish to take note
at this time of work done through the Support Directorate Problem
Solving Seminar and the Management Advisory Group typifying manage-

ment training in action.) Through the Training Selection Board the Agency has been able to increase its attendance at leading university centers of management training. Next year, for example, in addition to sending representatives to Harvard, we will have our representatives at MIT, Stanford, Columbia, Pennsylvania State, Pittsburgh, and Cornell. In another year we expect there will be others.

Many in the audience are well acquainted with the Agency's Career Training Program. The Program is changing. Fewer CTs are being recruited. The next two years, for example, will see a total of 100 join the Program. Emphasis in selection is on the generalist and the input may be as high as a one-for-one ratio of Internals and Externals.

Training will be a mix of formal courses and on-the-job experience cevering a period of as few as

efore the young officer leaves the Program for assignment in a Directorate. (I am pleased to see that "on-the-job training" or interim assignments are being reinstituted into the CT training cycle.)

I am often reminded that OTR does not do all of the training in the Agency. Many Offices have their own highly specialized--and equally successful programs. I view this as a necessary adjunct to the work of OTR and little change in current programs is expected. There is one

25X

Approved For Release 2003/05/27 SEA-RDP84-00780R003400060054-2

arm of training that cannot be ignored and that is the Agency sponsorship of employees for training at institutions or facilities outside CIA. As the computer world and scientific intelligence accelerate, it is expected that we will continue to use outside sources to keep our employees professionally current.

When we consider that about 5,000 employees get some formal training of varying lengths over a 12-month period I feel assured that we are not neglecting our professional growth and I am confident that our training will continue to be progressive—to keep pace with the Agency's developing role.